

**A METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR COLOR IMAGE PROCESSING,  
AND A COMPUTER PRODUCT**

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5           The present invention relates in general to a  
technology for color image processing. More particularly,  
this invention relates to the color image processing method  
for enabling removal of show-through when matter is printed  
on both the sides of a paper. Here, "show-through" means  
10 a phenomenon that the matter printed on one side of the paper  
is seen from the other side of the paper.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Fig. 4 explains the phenomenon of show-through, and  
15 it is assumed that the object shown are colored ones. In  
accordance with wide spread of equipments which can capture  
or print color images, the use of color images in magazines,  
catalogues, advertisement, or newspapers have become common.  
The examples of equipments which can capture color images  
20 are color scanners, digital cameras, and digital color  
copiers. In almost all the cases an image or matter is  
printed on both the sides of the paper. However, sometimes  
the image on one side of the paper can be seen even from  
the other side. This fact is shown in Fig. 4. The image  
25 4A is printed on the back side of the paper and the other

images are printed on the front side of the paper. However, the image 4A printed on the back side of the paper is seen event from the front side. Further, in many cases, a color document has a different background color, or has image patterns, other patterns, and photographs together with one another. Therefore, the problem to remove "show-through" from the input image by performing digital image processing has been regarded as being difficult to handle although it is important for improvement in image quality.

10       Methods for removing show-through, on special equipments such as a book scanner or a double-side scanner, are known. Such methods have been disclosed, for example, in Japanese Patent Nos. HEI 07-87295A, HEI 08-265563A, HEI 09-205544A, HEI 09-233319A, or United States Patent Nos. 15   5973792 and 5932137.

          The United States Patent No. 5932137 discloses a method and apparatus. What is disclosed is a technology for mitigating the effects of show-through by scanning the both the sides of the paper and and storing the scanned images. 20   The invention according to this publication is realized by scanning a duplexed document with show-through derived from the second side at least on the first side, storing a first side image and a second side image, generating a representation (mirror-image conversion, registration of 25   images) corresponding to a component attributable to

show-through from the second side image to the first side,  
and correcting (using a show-through coefficient) the image  
by removing the show-through from the first side as a function  
of the representation of the second side image. Further,  
5 the image processing method for mitigating the effects of  
show-through is performed by scanning a first side and then  
a second side of a duplexed document with show-through  
derived from the second side on the first side, storing the  
first side image and the second side image, generating a  
10 representation (mirror-image conversion, registration of  
images) corresponding to a component attributable to  
show-through from the second side image to the first side  
(and from the first side image to the second side), and  
correcting (using a show-through coefficient) the image by  
15 removing the show-through as a function of the representation  
of the second side image (first side image) from the first  
side (second side). Further, in this publication, there  
has been described a document printing system which mitigates  
the effects of show-through for printing an image with  
20 mitigated effects of show-through. More specifically, this  
system comprises a scanner that scans a duplexed document  
with show-through derived from the second side at least on  
the first side, and stores images on the first side and the  
second side, an image processing circuit (mirror-image  
25 conversion, registration of images) that generates a

representation corresponding to a component attributable to show-through from the second side image to the first side, and an image processing unit (using a show-through coefficient) that removes the show-through from the first  
5 side as a function of the representation of the second side image, and corrects the image.

In the above-mentioned methods, at first, the input images on both sides of the paper are accurately aligned in their position, and the images on both sides are compared  
10 to each other to estimate a transmission coefficient of paper. By subtracting a component attributable to show-through from the front side image using the transmission coefficient, the show-through is removed. However, there is a problem in these methods such that the methods can not be applied  
15 to any other equipment except specific input equipment that can input and store images on both sides and accurately register the images or such environments.

As another methods for removing the show-through, there have been proposed methods based on analysis or  
20 binarization of image density using only information for a single-side image. For example, the methods have been proposed in Japanese Patent Nos. HEI 11-187266A, HEI 11-41466A, [J. Sauvola, T. Seppanen, S. Haapakoski, and M. Pietikainen, "Adaptive document binarization," Proc. 4th  
25 Int. Conf. Document Analysis and Recognition (Ulm, Germany),

August 18-20, 1997, pp. 142 - 146.], United States Patent  
Nos. 5646744 and 5832137. However, when the image is  
complicated, it is difficult to discriminate between a front  
side image and show-through using density or color  
5 distribution. There comes up risk such that a character  
having low contrast to a background in particular, for  
example, a character in yellow on a white background may  
be processed as show-through.

Thus, the method of scanning and storing the images  
10 has a problem that it can be only utilized on special  
equipments and can not be applied to other equipments. The  
special equipments are the ones that can input and store  
images on both sides of the paper and can accurately align  
the positions of both the images.

15 On the other hand, the method of using the image density  
has a problem that it is difficult to discriminate between  
a front side image and show-through using density or color  
distribution when the image is complicated. With this  
method, there may be a case that a character having low  
20 contrast to a background in particular, for example, a  
character in yellow on a white background is processed as  
show-through.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of this invention to provide a color image processing method which is applied to general-purpose equipment without depending on a specific input device and  
5 which can remove show-through from a color image by using only information for a single-side image when a color document printed on both sides and having show-through derived from the second side on the first side is to be scanned. The object of this invention is also to provide a color image  
10 processing apparatus for executing the method, and a computer-readable recording medium where a program for making a computer execute the method and the functions of the apparatus is recoded.

According to one aspect of this invention, a component  
15 corresponding to the show-through is removed based on detection of the intensity of an edge and color threshold processing for a digital color image obtained by digitally inputting a document color-printed on both sides of paper.

According to another aspect of this invention, a  
20 component corresponding to the show-through is removed based on detection of the intensity of an edge and color threshold processing for a digital color image obtained by digitally inputting a document color-printed on both sides of paper, an image from which the show-through has been removed  
25 obtained as a result of the processing is compared with edge

distribution of an original image. In the show-through removed image, the color threshold processing is again applied to the periphery of an edge which does not exist in the original image to correct the image.

5           According to still another aspect of this invention, the color threshold processing is performed by setting a window of a predetermined size in a portion where no edge exists in the edge distribution obtained by binarizing the detected edge intensity, locally classifying pixels within  
10 the window into two colors, and replacing the color of the pixels within the window with the color with high brightness (light color).

          According to still another aspect of this invention, a component corresponding to the show-through is removed  
15 based on detection of edge intensity of a front side image of a document and color threshold processing without having to input the rear side of the document.

          According to still another aspect of this invention, in the show-through removed image, the color threshold  
20 processing is again applied to the periphery of an edge which does not exist in the original image, and when the image is to be corrected, the size of the window according to the invention is set to a smaller size as compared to that in the previous processing.

25           According to still another aspect of this invention,

in comparison between the show-through removed image and the edge distribution of the original image, at each pixel, the edge intensity calculated in the original image is subtracted from the edge intensity calculated in the show-through removed image. As a result, the value higher than the predetermined threshold is determined as an edge which does not exist in the original image in the show-through removed image.

Other objects and features of this invention will become apparent from the following description with reference to the accompanying drawings.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of modules showing a color image processing apparatus according to an embodiment of this invention;

Fig. 2 is a flow chart showing a color image processing method according to an embodiment of this invention;

Fig. 3 is a flow chart showing in detail the color image processing method according to an embodiment of this invention;

Fig. 4 shows an example of a color image with show-through;

Fig. 5 shows an edge intensity image generated by a vector Sobel operator applied to the image in Fig. 4;



Fig. 6 shows a result of binarizing the color edge intensity in Fig. 5;

Fig. 7 is a flow chart showing locally-executed color threshold processing using a window of size  $S$  in detail;

5 Fig. 8 is a flow chart showing locally-executed color threshold processing using a window of size  $S$  in detail;

Fig. 9A and Fig. 9B show examples of formation of runs in order to estimate a background color;

Fig. 10A and Fig. 10B show images as results of  
10 estimating the background colors based on the locally-executed color threshold processing;

Fig. 11 shows a show-through removed image with respect to the image in Fig. 4 when the size  $S$  is set to 2 mm;

Fig. 12 shows an image based on a binary edge difference  
15 with respect to the image in Fig. 11 when the size  $S$  is set to 2 mm;

Fig. 13 shows a result of applying this processing to the periphery of the black pixels in Fig. 12;

Fig. 14 shows a result of the processing performed  
20 on the image in Fig. 4; and

Fig. 15 shows an image subjected to mirror-image conversion displayed in a gray scale by taking a difference between the images in Fig. 4 and Fig. 14 in order to show the removed component.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

As actual cases of utilizing the processing for show-through may be as follows. That is, embedding of the method to a digital color copier, application software of  
5 scanners and digital cameras, or pre-processing in image analysis/recognition system of a color document. In this invention, considering such variations of the situations and environments, the problem to deal with show-through of a color image has been analyzed in following approaches.

- 10 1) Using only a front side image without having to use information for a rear side image:

A method for inputting images on both sides and subtracting the rear side image from the front side image requires high-precision registration technology. In  
15 general, non-linear geometrical transformation is in many cases added to an input image due to optical and mechanical factors. Therefore, this method is difficult to be used in any devices except specific input equipment such as a double-side scanner or a book scanner. Accordingly, any  
20 method for using only a front side image without having to use information for a rear side image has mainly been sought, and then some points that can not be dealt with by only the information for a single-side image are complemented by information for its rear side image.

## 2) Method based on local operation:

As a case where the method is installed, it is considered that the method is embedded into software on PC or WS, or a digital color copier. There is a big difference  
5 between these two in restriction to their working memories (the number of lines that can temporarily be processed). In the software on the PC or the WS, whole information for an image is allowed to be randomly accessed, while in an embedded device, only local information is generally allowed  
10 to be accessed. Therefore, the method based on local operation of an image has mainly been sought.

## 3) Optimal setting of processing parameters based on general operation:

15 Setting parameters to define operational effects is essential in an image processing algorithm/system. Of these parameters, some requires different setting for each image. When whole information for an image can be accessed, the method for optimally setting parameters based on general  
20 image operation can be installed.

Based on such an approach, it is possible to remove show-through from a color image by using only information for a single-side image of paper, which is applied to general-purpose equipment without depending on a specific  
25 input device.

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of modules showing a color image processing apparatus according to an embodiment of this invention. In this embodiment, a color image input from image input equipment 1, such as a scanner or a digital camera, is stored in the RAM 6. A series of processing explained later is executed by reading the program stored in ROM 7 by CPU 5. A progress report or result on the processing is provided to a user through a display unit 2 such as a CRT. The user inputs and specifies any parameters required for the processing through a keyboard 3 as necessary. Intermediate data (reduced color image, color transformed image, edge intensity image, binary edge image, background color image, binary edge difference image, show-through removed image) produced during performance of the processing explained later is stored in the RAM 6. The data is then read out and corrected or written from and in the RAM 6 by the CPU 5 as necessary. The show-through removed image generated as a result of the series of processing is read out from the RAM 6, and is output to image printing equipment (printer) 4.

Fig. 2 is a flow chart showing a color image processing method according to this embodiment. This invention mainly corresponds to an image processing section of a digital color copier. Before the processing in this invention, pre-processing such as A/D conversion and density correction

is executed as usual, and gray-scale processing or the like is executed after the processing.

When a color image is input by a scanner 1 (step S1), the image is first reduced (step S2). By processing the image produced by reducing the original image, the processing is speeded up, a used space in working memory is reduced, and installation is simplified. A color coordinate system is then transformed (step S3). In a RGB space, components are not highly independent from one another, accordingly, precision of edge detection and robustness against noise may not be increased. Therefore, the coordinate system is transformed to an appropriate one to improve the precision of edge detection and the robustness against noise.

Subsequently, edge intensity is calculated (step S4). By detecting the edge intensity, a foreground portion of a color document such as a text or a line drawing and a background portion with higher brightness (light color) than the show-through portion can resultantly be retained. An edge is then detected by binarizing the portions (step S5), and color threshold processing is executed on a region except the edge (step S6). The show-through portion can be corrected without entry or storage of the rear side image. The front side and the show-through portion can be discriminated from each other by performing the color threshold processing, and color can specifically be

estimated. The size of the show-through portion to be removed can be explicitly specified according to the size of a set window. The processing is performed based on local operation, which leads to economy on a used space in the working memory and simplification of installation. A show-through removed image is synthesized based on the background color image estimated through the color threshold processing (step S7).

The edge intensity of the show-through removed image is then calculated (step S8), and an edge difference image based on the original image and the show-through removed image is generated (step S9). The image produced as a result of the processing is compared to the original image, so that any part where the image is degraded due to inadequate processing can be detected through a simple operation. By executing again the processing around the part, degradation in the image can be prevented, thus improving the result of processing. A difference between the respective edge intensity is binarized (step S10), the processing scale (the size of a window) is reduced, the color threshold processing is performed in the same manner as that in step S6 to estimate a background color image (step S11), and a show-through removed image is synthesized (step S12). Regarding the color threshold processing for correction using a small-sized window, the size of a show-through portion to

be removed depends on local characteristics (e.g., complicity) of the front side image, but is determined depending on the size of the window in a complicated portion. By reducing the size of the show-through portion to be removed, degradation in the front side image can be prevented.

Subsequently, a show-through portion is estimated (determined) by calculating a difference between the show-through removed image and the reduced original image (step S13). Lastly, the show-through portion in the original image with original resolution is removed (step S14), and the image is output to the printer (step S15).

The characteristics of the color image processing method according to this invention is explained below.

1) Utilization of color edge intensity:

A show-through portion is input after passing through paper, which gives the portion the same effect as a low-pass filter that acts on the portion. The characteristics of this filter depend on physical characteristics of paper, therefore, it is difficult to specify them quantitatively. However, it is natural to assume that the edge intensity of the show-through portion is lower as compared to that of the front side image. In order to calculate edge intensity with high precision, it is desirable to make use of edge detection [A. Cumani, "Edge detection in multispectral images," Graphical Models and Image Processing, vol. 53,

no. 1, pp. 40 - 51, 1991.], [H.-C. Lee and D.R. Cok, "Detecting boundaries in a vector field," IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1181 - 1194, 1991.] not based on a method for simply summing edge intensity calculated in each component of RGB, but based on a vector method for transforming the color coordinate system to an adequate one and using three components combined. Further, by performing appropriate threshold processing on the edge intensity, the edge of show-through portion can be removed.

At the time of selecting thresholds, the edge intensity distribution is statistically analyzed and values are automatically set, or a plurality of thresholds are previously provided and a user sets values according to a degree of show-through or paper quality.

2) Estimation of a background color based on region-selectable and locally-executed color threshold processing:

It should be considered that a high-frequency component, in particular, such as a character or a line of the show-through portion is removed. It is assumed now that a window in which no edge exists is set in an image. The interior of this window can be classified into regions from viewpoints of: (a) whether there is show-through in the interior, (b) whether the interior includes a region where the front side image consists of a single color, (c) whether



it includes a region where the front side image has color gradation, and (d) whether it includes a region formed with a plurality of different colors because an important edge of the front side image has been removed by thresholding.

5           When viewed from a local point, the show-through portion has generally lower brightness as compared to that of the front side image. Therefore, the interior of the window is classified into two colors, and the interior of the window is replaced with the color with higher brightness  
10 (lighter). Further, although color clustering generally requires repetitive calculation, calculation efficiency is enhanced here by using a high-speed color threshold processing algorithm [S.C. Pei and C.M. Cheng, "Color image processing by using binary quaternion-moment-preserving  
15 threshold processing technique," IEEE Trans. Image Processing, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 614 - 628, 1999.] based on a quaternion representation of an image and a moment preserving principle. Further, in order to determine "scale" of the processing, the maximum size  $S$  of the window  
20 is specified. Through this operation, when a region within the window has the characteristic of (b), show-through is removed. However, when this operation is executed on a region having the characteristic of (c) or (d), the image is degraded or distorted if the maximum size  $S$  is too large.  
25 It will be considered how to deal with the problem in (4)

explained below.

3) Image synthesis:

A show-through removed image is synthesized by using the original image for the periphery of the edge and the background color estimated in the characteristic (2) for any portion other than the edge.

4) Correction to show-through removed image based on multi-scale analysis of an edge difference:

As is clear from the operation of (1), the edge included in the show-through removed image is supposed to be a partial cluster of edges of the original image. Viewing each pixel, the edge intensity in the show-through removed image can not possibly be higher than that in the original image. If there is any edge, that does not exist in the original image, in the show-through removed image, this is a side effect produced due to the fact that the processing scale (maximum size  $S$  of the window) is too large in the operation (2). In order to correct this effect, estimation of a background color is performed again in a smaller scale around the dummy edge, so that the show-through removed image is corrected. It is desirable to determine appropriately the processing scale adequate to each image, but this is quite difficult in an actual case. Accordingly, the appropriate scales are determined one after another while the result of the processing is compared to the original image based on the

edge information using a coarse-to-fine strategy.

One characteristic of this invention is to perform local color threshold processing using a window of size  $S$  (which is explained later with reference to a detailed flow chart). This parameter  $S$  means the maximum size of a show-through portion to be removed, and a show-through portion of the size within a rectangle of approx.  $S \times S$  is detected. Further, the value of  $S$  is different between a portion of a complicated image and a portion of a simple image, so that the value is required to be adjusted appropriately. Accordingly, in this invention, the value of  $S$  is controlled by comparing the edge intensity of the original image with that of the show-through removed image, and the processing for locally-executed color threshold processing is incorporated.

Further, another characteristic of this invention is to speed up the processing by using a reduced image (e.g., 400 dpi is reduced to 100 dpi by converting an average of colors for each  $4 \times 4$  pixels to a color for one pixel). For example, when show-through of the size of about 2 mm is to be removed, in the image reduced to 100 dpi,  $S$  is 8 pixels.

Fig. 3 is a flow chart showing the color image processing method according to an embodiment of this invention in detail. Each segment of the algorithm is explained in detail with reference to Fig. 3.

At step S31, the resolution of a color image read by a scanner or the like is reduced, and a color image I (RGB) is output.

Color coordinate transformation:

5           Coordinates of the color image I are transformed to another color coordinate system to be a transformed color image  $I_0$  (step S32). Components in the RGB space are not highly independent from one another, therefore, precision of edge detection may not be improved. Accordingly, the  
10       color coordinate is transformed to, for example, YCbCr in equation (1) or a pseudo KL color coordinate system in equation (2).

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Cb \\ Cr \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.299 & 0.587 & 0.114 \\ -0.1687 & -0.3313 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & -0.4187 & -0.0813 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots (1)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ I_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/2 & 0 & -1/2 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 & -1/4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots (2)$$

15       Edge detection:

Edge detection is executed on the transformed color image  $I_0$  to generate an edge intensity image  $E_0$  (step S33). As a method for edge detection from a color image, the simplest method is to seek a square sum root of respective edge  
20       intensity calculated by a Sobel operator or the like applied to each component. There is another method for edge

detection based on a vector method as explained in the cited document [Edge detection in multispectral images (A. Cumani)], [Detecting boundaries in a vector field (H.-C. Lee and D.R. Cok)], and it has been known that robustness  
5 against noise in the method is more excellent than a simple method. In the edge detection method based on a vector method, a square sum root is not simply obtained in combination of the edge intensity calculated in components but correlation between the components is considered. More specifically,  
10 assuming that  $u(x, y)$ ,  $v(x, y)$ , and  $w(x, y)$  are three components of color and these three components are defined by  $p$  (equation (3)),  $t$  (equation (4)), and  $q$  (equation (5)), so that the edge intensity at a pixel  $(x, y)$  is obtained by equation (6).

$$15 \quad p = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \quad \dots (3)$$

$$t = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right) \quad \dots (4)$$

$$q = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 \quad \dots (5)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( p + q + \sqrt{(p + q)^2 - 4(pq - t^2)} \right) \quad \dots (6)$$

Fig. 4 shows an example of a color image with  
20 show-through, and Fig. 5 shows an edge intensity image  $E_0$

generated by a vector Sobel operator applied to the image in Fig. 4. In Fig. 5, pixels with high intensity are represented in black.

Threshold processing and expansion processing of edge  
5 intensity:

The threshold processing and expansion processing of edge intensity are executed on the edge intensity image  $E_0$  to generate a binary edge image  $F_0$  (step S34). Thresholds in the threshold processing for the edge intensity are  
10 automatically set by statistically analyzing intensity distribution, or a plurality of thresholds are previously provided and any of them is set by a user according to a degree of show-through or paper quality.

Fig. 6 shows a result of binarizing the color edge  
15 intensity in Fig. 5. In the binary edge image  $F_0$ , a pixel whose edge intensity is higher than the threshold is represented as ON, and any pixel other than the pixel is represented as OFF. Further, in the binary edge image  $F_0$ , the expansion processing is subjected to the pixel of ON  
20 as required.

Estimation of a background color based on locally-executed color threshold processing:

The locally-executed color threshold processing for the transformed color image  $I_0$  is then performed on a region  
25 where the binary edge image  $F_0$  is OFF to generate a background

color image  $B_0$  (step S35). That is, a local background color for a region where pixels are OFF on the binary edge image  $F_0$  is estimated. Further, in the original image, by replacing the OFF-pixels on the binary edge image  $F_0$  with the background color image  $B_0$ , a show-through removed image  $J_0$  is generated (step S36). The processing for estimating a background color based on this local color threshold is explained in more detail.

Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 are flow charts showing in detail the locally-executed color threshold processing using a window of size  $S$ . The processing for estimation of a background color is explained with reference to Fig. 7 and Fig. 8.

This locally-executed color threshold processing is performed, assuming parameters such as the size of the window:  $s$ , the width of the image:  $w$ , and the height of the image:  $h$ , by inputting a color original image  $I$  and a binary edge image  $F$  to generate a background color image  $J$  as a final output.

At first, the parameters and the images  $I$  and  $F$  are input (step S51). A count value  $h_0$  of height of the image is then set to 0 (step S52). At step S53, it is determined whether  $h_0$  satisfies  $h_0 < h$  (step S53). When the value  $h_0$  is greater than  $h$ , the processing proceeds to step S72 explained later. When the value  $h_0$  is smaller than  $h$ , a

count value  $w_0$  of a width of the image is set to 0 at step S54. At step S55, the widths  $w$  and  $w_0$  of the images are compared to each other. When the value  $w_0$  is greater than  $w$ , the value  $h_0$  is incremented by one (step S63), and the processing returns to step S53. When  $w_0$  is smaller than  $w$ , the processing proceeds to step S56, and it is detected whether the binary edge image  $F[h_0][w_0]$  of the value  $h_0$  and the value  $w_0$  is 1, that is, whether the image has an edge. If any edge exists, the color original image  $I[h_0][w_0]$  is determined as a background color image  $J[h_0][w_0]$  (step S57), the value  $w_0$  is incremented by one (step S58), and the processing returns to step S55. If it is determined at step S56 that no edge exists, a run  $R$  of length  $t=s$  in the horizontal direction is extracted from the color original image  $I$  at the point  $(h_0, w_0)$  as its starting point (step S59). However, when there is a pixel as  $F[h_0][j] = 1$  ( $w_0 < j < w_0 + s$ ), a run  $R$  of length  $t = j_0 - w_0$  is extracted assuming that  $j_0$  is such a pixel and  $j$  is the minimum.

The run  $R$  is then classified into two colors and the color with higher brightness is defined as  $b$  (step S60), and  $J[h_0][j]$  is defined as  $b$  when  $j = w_0, w_0 + 1, \dots, w_0 + t - 1$  (step S61). Subsequently, the value  $w_0$  is incremented by  $t$  (step S62), and the processing returns to step S55. Finally, the operation is repeated during the period when  $w_0 < w$  and  $h_0 < h$  are satisfied, and from then on, the processing



continues to step S72.

A run R in the vertical direction is extracted in the same manner as the method for extracting the runs in the horizontal direction. Actually, at steps S72 to S76, the transposed operations in the horizontal direction and the vertical direction at steps S52 to S56 are executed. At step S76, if it is determined that no edge exists, a run R of length  $t=s$  in the vertical direction is extracted from the background color image J based on  $(h_0, w_0)$  as a starting point (step S79). However, when there is a pixel as  $F[i][w_0] = 1$  ( $h_0 < i < h_0 + s$ ), a run R of length  $t=i_0-h_0$  is extracted assuming that  $i_0$  is such a pixel and  $i$  is the minimum.

The run R is then classified into two colors and the color with higher brightness is defined as  $b$  (step S80), and  $J[i][w_0]$  is defined as  $b$  when  $i=h_0, h_0+1, \dots, h_0+t-1$  (step S81). Subsequently, the value  $h_0$  is incremented by  $t$  (step S82), and the processing returns to step S75. Finally, the operation is repeated during the period when  $h_0 < h$  and  $w_0 < w$  are satisfied, and then, the processing is ended. An example of formation of the runs is explained below.

Fig. 9A and Fig. 9B show examples of formation of runs in order to estimate a background color. Fig. 9A shows runs in the horizontal direction, and Fig. 9B shows runs in the vertical direction. As shown in Fig. 9A, each run, whose maximum length is  $S$  and which does not include an ON pixel

of a binary edge image in such a run is successively formed in each horizontal line. In each of the runs, pixels forming a run is classified into two typical colors. Such "color clustering" generally requires repetitive calculation.

5 However, the high-speed threshold processing algorithm (S.C. Pei and C.M. Cheng) based on a quaternion representation of an image and a moment preserving principle is used. This algorithm has a characteristic in that the two typical colors and a classification boundary are obtained as closed form

10 solutions without performing repetitive calculation. Of the two typical colors thus calculated, a lighter color (higher brightness) is set to a color of each pixel forming the run. As explained above, an image B', which has been subjected to estimation of a background color using the

15 horizontal runs, is obtained.

In general, the edge in a show-through portion (show-through region) is weaker than the edge of a front side image, therefore, as a result of threshold processing the edge, the show-through portion is included in "a region

20 with no edge". In the show-through portion, the density is lower (dark color) than that of a background color on the front side, therefore, "binarization (two typical colors within a region are calculated)" is executed on an  $S \times S$  region, and a region is replaced with a brighter color. Accordingly,

25 the show-through portion having an  $S \times S$  size or less is

removed.

It is difficult to arrange an  $S \times S$  rectangle so that the edge is not included in the rectangle when there is an edge. Therefore, as explained above, runs in the horizontal direction in order to estimate a background color are formed (Fig. 9A). The maximum length of the run is  $S$ , and the runs are formed so that ON pixels of a binary edge image are not included in the runs. "Binarization (two typical colors within a region are calculated)" is executed on each of the runs, and the region is replaced with a brighter color. With respect to the image generated as a result, runs in the vertical direction are formed in the same manner as explained above, and the same processing as that in the case of the horizontal direction is performed (Fig. 9B).

Fig. 10A and Fig. 10B show images as results of estimating the background colors based on the locally-executed color threshold processing. Fig. 10A shows the image as a result of setting  $S$  to 2 mm in the image of Fig. 4 and estimating a background color using the horizontal runs. Fig. 10B shows the image as a result of estimating a background color further using the vertical runs for the background color image in Fig. 10A.

Further, as shown in Fig. 9B, the runs in the vertical direction are formed, and the generated image  $B'$  is subjected to the processing for estimating a background color.

Brightness of each pixel in the background color image B generated as a result of the processing (which is explained as J in the flow chart of Fig. 7 and Fig. 8) becomes higher (lighter) than B'.

5 By subjecting the runs in the horizontal direction and the vertical direction to the processing for estimating the background color in such a manner, a background color image  $B_0$  is generated (step S35 in Fig. 3). Further, in the original image, by replacing the pixels in which the  
10 binary edge image  $F_0$  is OFF with  $B_0$ , a show-through removed image  $J_0$  is obtained (step S36).

Fig. 11 shows the show-through removed image  $J_0$  with respect to the image in Fig. 4 when the size S is set to 2 mm.

15 Correction to a show-through removed image based on multi-scale analysis of an edge difference:

Correction to a show-through removed image based on multi-scale edge difference analysis is further explained with reference to the flow in Fig. 3. The size S of the  
20 window is reduced ( $S/2$  in this embodiment) (step S37), and it is determined whether the window size S is greater than or equal to one pixel (step S38). If S is greater than one pixel, the processing proceeds to step S43 and thereafter. When  $S \geq 1$ , a show-through removed image is corrected based  
25 on the multi-scale edge difference analysis, which will be

explained below.

An edge in the show-through removed image  $J_0$  is detected at each pixel (step S39), and the edge intensity  $E_0$  of the original image is subtracted from the detected edge intensity  $E_1$  to generate an edge difference image (step S40). In most of the pixels, each difference (residual) becomes a value of 0 or less. This edge difference image is binarized to generate a binary edge difference image D. A pixel whose difference is the threshold or more is indicated as ON, and the other pixels are indicated as OFF.

Fig. 12 shows a binary edge difference image D with respect to the image in Fig. 11 when the size S is set to 2 mm. In Fig. 12, as represented by a circular arc section in the lower part of Fig. 11, the portion, that is not regarded as an edge as a result of binarizing the difference between the edge intensity  $E_1$  in the show-through removed image  $J_0$  in Fig. 11 and the edge intensity image  $E_0$  in Fig. 5, does not remain as an edge. Conversely, the portion that remains as an edge is determined as ON pixels, and the processing proceeds to step of estimating a background color explained below.

The processing for estimating a background color is executed on the periphery of ON pixels in the binary edge difference image D by using the new value of S that has been reduced to the half at step S37, and a background color image

$B_1$  is generated (step S41). In the show-through removed image  $J_0$ , the color of  $(2S+1) \times (2S+1)$  pieces of pixels near the ON pixels in the image  $D$  is replaced with color in the new background color image  $B_1$  (step S42).

5        Fig. 13 shows a result of applying this processing to the periphery of the black pixels in Fig. 12. The processing for correction is recursively repeated until the length  $S$  becomes less than one pixel, or until no pixel remains after the edge difference image is thresholded.

10        When the show-through removed image  $J_0$  is finally generated, this color coordinate system is reversely transformed to be restored to RGB (step S43), and the show-through portion is identified (step S44). The identification of the show-through portion is performed by  
15        calculating a difference between the show-through removed image  $J_0$  and the reduced original image. In an actual case, a difference between three components of a color is calculated, the difference is converted to brightness, or an Euclidean distance or a distance in a Lab color space  
20        is calculated. The distance is thresholded to detect a show-through portion.

      Lastly, the pixels determined as a show-through portion in the original image with the original resolution are replaced with the color in the show-through removed image  
25        (step S45). In this replacement, the color of the pixels

on the show-through removed image obtained from the reduced image is allocated to a corresponding region on the original image with the original resolution. For example, one pixel of a 100 dpi-reduced image corresponds to 4×4 pixels of the original image.

Fig. 14 shows a result of the processing performed on the image in Fig. 4. Fig. 15 shows an image obtained by taking a difference between the images in Fig. 4 and Fig. 14 displayed in a gray scale in order to show the removed component.

Although the color image processing method and apparatus according to this invention have been explained, as the embodiments of this invention, a computer-readable recording medium, where a program for making a computer execute the color image processing method or for making a computer execute the processing of the color image processing apparatus is recorded, can also be provided.

According to this invention, advantageous effects as follows are produced.

(1) By detecting edge intensity, a foreground portion of a color document such as a text or a line drawing and a background portion where brightness is higher (light color) than that of a show-through portion can be retained.

(2) By color threshold processing, the show-through portion can be corrected without entry or storage of a rear

side image. Further, the front side and the show-through portion can be discriminated, and a color can specifically be estimated. The size of a show-through portion to be removed can explicitly be specified by the size of a window to be set, and the processing is performed based on local operation, which leads to economy on a used space in the working memory and simplification of installation.

(3) By generating an edge difference image between the original image and the show-through removed image, that is, by comparing the original image with the image produced as a result of the processing, any portion where the image is degraded due to inadequate processing can be detected by a simple operation. By executing again the processing on the periphery of the portion, it is possible to prevent degradation in the image and improve the result of the processing.

(4) The size of a show-through portion to be removed depends on a local characteristic (complexity or the like) of a front side image. However, in its complicated portion, by reducing the size of a show-through portion to be removed that is determined based on the size of a window (color threshold processing for correction using a small-sized window), degradation in the front side image can be prevented.

(5) The color coordinate system of the RGB space, where



components are not highly independent from one another and precision in edge detection and robustness against noise may not increase, is transformed to an appropriate one, so that the precision in edge detection and the robustness  
5 against noise are improved.

(6) A low-resolution image is produced, and the processing for removing show-through is subjected to the image, a show-through portion is detected by comparing the image with its original image, and the detected portion is replaced  
10 with an appropriate color. That is, the image produced by reducing the original image is subjected to processing, which makes it possible to speed up the processing, economize on a used space in the working memory, and simplify installation.

15 (7) No specific input equipment is required, so that this invention can be applied to any general-purpose image input equipment.

The present document incorporates by reference the entire contents of Japanese priority documents, 11-354412  
20 filed in Japan on December 14, 1999.

Although the invention has been described with respect to a specific embodiment for a complete and clear disclosure, the appended claims are not to be thus limited but are to be construed as embodying all modifications and alternative  
25 constructions that may occur to one skilled in the art which

fairly fall within the basic teaching herein set forth.